

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,791	07/03/2003	Jiang Hsieh	15-CT	1516
Patrick W. Ras	7590 01/1 <i>7/</i> 2008 she	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP			ARTMAN, THOMAS R	
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J. 2000, 1100			2882	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

· **½**'•

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/613,791	HSIEH ET AL.	HSIEH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Thomas R. Artman	2882			

	Thomas R. Artman	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b): NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	ht muinu to the data of filing a buicf	will not be entered b	0001100
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a)  They raise new issues that would require further con (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: <u>35 USC 251</u> .		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:         Claim(s) allowed: 1-21.         Claim(s) objected to: 24-27 and 30-32.         Claim(s) rejected: 22,23,28 and 29.     </li> </ul>		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected. <u>22,23,26 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N discription and sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:	an //		
SUPE	EDWARD J. GLICK RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Thomas R. Artman	THE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to patent claim 1, adding the limitation "outputting at least one of a calcification score and" CT images, requires further search and consideration. This amendment broadens the scope of the claim which was indicated as allowable in the previous Office action, dated July 11th, 2007.